ME 233 – Advanced Control II Lecture 17 Minimum Variance Regulator

Tony Kelman

UC Berkeley

March 29, 2016

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

#### Outline

Introduction

MVR Problem Statement

**MVR** Solution

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

#### Outline

#### Introduction

**MVR** Problem Statement

**MVR Solution** 

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

### Model Form

We consider a state space model of the form

$$\begin{aligned} x(k+1) &= \hat{A}x(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{B}_w w(k) \\ y(k) &= \hat{C}x(k) + v(k) \end{aligned}$$

#### where

- u(k) is the scalar control signal
- y(k) is the **scalar** measurement signal
- w(k) is the input noise

(white, zero-mean,  $E\{w(k)w^T(k)\} = W$ )

v(k) is the measurement noise
 (white, zero-mean, E{v(k)v<sup>T</sup>(k)} = V)

$$\blacktriangleright E\{w(k)v^T(k)\} = 0$$

### Stationary Kalman Filter V2 (Review)

The optimal state estimator is given by

$$\hat{x}^{o}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{L}\tilde{y}^{o}(k)$$
$$\tilde{y}^{o}(k) = y(k) - \hat{C}\hat{x}^{o}(k)$$

where

$$\hat{L} = \hat{A}M\hat{C}^{T}[\hat{C}M\hat{C}^{T} + V]^{-1}$$

$$M = \hat{A}M\hat{A}^{T} + \hat{B}_{w}W\hat{B}_{w}^{T} - \hat{A}M\hat{C}^{T}[\hat{C}M\hat{C}^{T} + V]^{-1}\hat{C}M\hat{A}^{T}$$

$$\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C} \text{ is Schur}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

#### Stationary Kalman Filter V2 (Review)

The optimal state estimator is given by

$$\hat{x}^{o}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{L}\tilde{y}^{o}(k)$$
$$\tilde{y}^{o}(k) = y(k) - \hat{C}\hat{x}^{o}(k)$$

where

$$\hat{L} = \hat{A}M\hat{C}^T [\hat{C}M\hat{C}^T + V]^{-1}$$
$$M = \hat{A}M\hat{A}^T + \hat{B}_w W\hat{B}_w^T - \hat{A}M\hat{C}^T [\hat{C}M\hat{C}^T + V]^{-1}\hat{C}M\hat{A}^T$$
$$\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C} \text{ is Schur}$$

Also, the signal  $\tilde{y}^o(k)$  is zero-mean, white, and has covariance  $\hat{C}M\hat{C}^T+V.$ 

#### Alternate Model Form

Using the Kalman Filter V2, we can write

$$\hat{x}^{o}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{L}\epsilon(k)$$
$$y(k) = \hat{C}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \epsilon(k)$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

where  $\epsilon(k) = \tilde{y}^o(k)$ .

#### Alternate Model Form

Using the Kalman Filter V2, we can write

$$\hat{x}^{o}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{L}\epsilon(k)$$
$$y(k) = \hat{C}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \epsilon(k)$$

where  $\epsilon(k)=\tilde{y}^o(k).$ 

As a transfer function, this is

$$Y(z) = [\hat{C}(zI - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B}]U(z) + [1 + \hat{C}(zI - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{L}]E(z)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

#### Alternate Model Form

Using the Kalman Filter V2, we can write

$$\hat{x}^{o}(k+1) = \hat{A}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \hat{B}u(k) + \hat{L}\epsilon(k)$$
$$y(k) = \hat{C}\hat{x}^{o}(k) + \epsilon(k)$$

where  $\epsilon(k) = \tilde{y}^o(k)$ .

As a transfer function, this is

$$\begin{split} Y(z) &= [\hat{C}(zI - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{B}]U(z) \\ &+ [1 + \hat{C}(zI - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{L}]E(z) \end{split}$$
 Recall that  $1 + \hat{C}(zI - \hat{A})^{-1}\hat{L} = \frac{\det[zI - (\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C})]}{\det[zI - \hat{A}]}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

### Alternate Transfer Function Model

From the previous slide, we have that

$$Y(z) = \frac{\bar{B}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}U(z) + \frac{\bar{C}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}E(z)$$

### Alternate Transfer Function Model

From the previous slide, we have that

$$Y(z) = \frac{\bar{B}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}U(z) + \frac{\bar{C}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}E(z)$$

where

$$\bar{A}(z) = z^{n} + a_{1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n} = \det[zI - \hat{A}]$$
  
$$\bar{C}(z) = z^{n} + c_{1}z^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n} = \det[zI - (\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C})]$$
  
$$\bar{B}(z) = b_{0}z^{m} + \dots + b_{m}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Alternate Transfer Function Model

From the previous slide, we have that

$$Y(z) = \frac{\bar{B}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}U(z) + \frac{\bar{C}(z)}{\bar{A}(z)}E(z)$$

where

$$\bar{A}(z) = z^{n} + a_{1}z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n} = \det[zI - \hat{A}]$$
  
$$\bar{C}(z) = z^{n} + c_{1}z^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n} = \det[zI - (\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C})]$$
  
$$\bar{B}(z) = b_{0}z^{m} + \dots + b_{m}$$

Since  $\hat{A} - \hat{L}\hat{C}$  is Schur, the polynomial  $\bar{C}(z)$  is Schur

### Polynomials in $q^{-1}$

We now define  $\mathbf{d}=n-m$  and the polynomials

$$A(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{A}(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$C(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{C}(z) = 1 + c_1 z^{-1} + \dots + c_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$B(z^{-1}) = z^{-m}\bar{B}(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_m z^{-m}$$

### Polynomials in $q^{-1}$

We now define  $\mathbf{d}=n-m$  and the polynomials

$$A(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{A}(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$C(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{C}(z) = 1 + c_1 z^{-1} + \dots + c_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$B(z^{-1}) = z^{-m}\bar{B}(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_m z^{-m}$$

so that we can write the transfer function from the previous slide as

$$Y(z) = \frac{z^{-d}B(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}U(z) + \frac{C(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}E(z)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

### Polynomials in $q^{-1}$

We now define d = n - m and the polynomials

$$A(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{A}(z) = 1 + a_1 z^{-1} + \dots + a_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$C(z^{-1}) = z^{-n}\bar{C}(z) = 1 + c_1 z^{-1} + \dots + c_n z^{-n}$$
  

$$B(z^{-1}) = z^{-m}\bar{B}(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \dots + b_m z^{-m}$$

so that we can write the transfer function from the previous slide as

$$Y(z) = \frac{z^{-d}B(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}U(z) + \frac{C(z^{-1})}{A(z^{-1})}E(z)$$

Note in particular that  ${\cal C}(z^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $z^{-1}$ 

We have now transformed the original state space plant model to

$$A(q^{-1})y(k) = q^{-d}B(q^{-1})u(k) + C(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

where  $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1}$  and  $\epsilon(k)$  is zero-mean white noise with covariance  $\hat{C}M\hat{C}^T+V$ 

We have now transformed the original state space plant model to

$$A(q^{-1})y(k) = q^{-d}B(q^{-1})u(k) + C(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)$$

where  $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1}$  and  $\epsilon(k)$  is zero-mean white noise with covariance  $\hat{C}M\hat{C}^T+V$ 

This type of model is called an <u>ARMAX</u> model because it is an ARMA model with an eXogenous input.

#### Outline

Introduction

MVR Problem Statement

**MVR** Solution

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

### Minimum Variance Regulator (MVR) Problem

Given the ARMAX model

$$A(q^{-1})y(k) = q^{-d}B(q^{-1})u(k) + C(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)$$

where

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1}$
- ▶ B(q<sup>-1</sup>) has no zeros on the unit circle
- $\epsilon(k)$  is zero-mean white noise
- ► The plant has no unstable pole-zero cancelations, i.e. the polynomials A(q<sup>-1</sup>) and B(q<sup>-1</sup>) have no common zeros such that |q<sup>-1</sup>| < 1</p>

### Minimum Variance Regulator (MVR) Problem

Given the ARMAX model

$$A(q^{-1})y(k) = q^{-d}B(q^{-1})u(k) + C(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)$$

where

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1}$
- $B(q^{-1})$  has no zeros on the unit circle
- $\epsilon(k)$  is zero-mean white noise
- ► The plant has no unstable pole-zero cancelations, i.e. the polynomials A(q<sup>-1</sup>) and B(q<sup>-1</sup>) have no common zeros such that |q<sup>-1</sup>| < 1</p>

find the stabilizing feedback control law that minimizes the output variance  $E\{y^2(k)\}$ 

#### Outline

Introduction

MVR Problem Statement

**MVR** Solution

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

### Factorization of B and $\overline{B}$

In general, the polynomial  $\bar{B}(q)=q^m B(q^{-1})$  has

- ▶  $m_s$  zeros strictly inside the unit circle (stable plant zeros)
- $m_u$  zeros strictly outside the unit circle (unstable plant zeros)

### Factorization of B and B

In general, the polynomial  $\bar{B}(q)=q^m B(q^{-1})$  has

- *m<sub>s</sub>* zeros strictly inside the unit circle (stable plant zeros)
- *m<sub>u</sub>* zeros strictly outside the unit circle (unstable plant zeros)
   Perform the factorization

$$B(q^{-1}) = B^s(q^{-1})B^u(q^{-1})$$

where

- ▶ B̄<sup>s</sup>(q) = q<sup>m<sub>s</sub></sup>B<sup>s</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) has its zeros inside the unit circle (These are the stable plant zeros)
- ▶ B<sup>u</sup>(q) = q<sup>mu</sup>B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) has its zeros outside the unit circle (These are the unstable plant zeros)

$$\bullet \ \bar{B}^u(0) = 1$$

### Minimum Variance Regulator (MVR) Solution

• The optimal control  $u_*(k)$  is given by

$$B^{s}(q^{-1})R(q^{-1})u_{*}(k) = -S(q^{-1})y(k)$$

where  ${\cal R}(q^{-1})$  and  ${\cal S}(q^{-1})$  are found by solving the Diophantine equation

$$C(q^{-1})\bar{B}^u(q^{-1}) = A(q^{-1})R(q^{-1}) + q^{-d}B^u(q^{-1})S(q^{-1})$$

where

$$R(q^{-1}) = 1 + r_1 q^{-1} + \dots + r_{n_r} q^{-n_r}$$
$$S(q^{-1}) = s_0 + s_1 q^{-1} + \dots + s_{n_s} q^{-n_s}$$

and  $n_r = m_u + d - 1$  and  $n_s = n - 1$ 

< ロ > < 団 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 > < 豆 < つ < ぐ</p>

Minimum Variance Regulator (MVR) Solution

The optimal cost is

$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{\epsilon_f^2(k)\}$$

where  $\epsilon_f(k)$  is defined in terms of  $\epsilon(k)$  by the ARMA model

$$\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})\epsilon_f(k) = R(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Constructing the MVR

- 1. Find  $\hat{L}$  using a stationary Kalman filter design
- 2. Construct  $C(q^{-1}) = q^{-n} \det[qI (\hat{A} \hat{L}\hat{C})]$
- 3. Factor  $B(q^{-1}) = B^s(q^{-1})B^u(q^{-1})$  as described previously (don't forget that  $\bar{B}^u(0) = 1$ )
- 4. Solve the Diophantine equation

$$C(q^{-1})\bar{B}^{u}(q^{-1}) = A(q^{-1})R(q^{-1}) + q^{-d}B^{u}(q^{-1})S(q^{-1})$$

5. Form the optimal controller

$$B^{s}(q^{-1})R(q^{-1})u_{*}(k) = -S(q^{-1})y(k)$$

• Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

▶ Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

•  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$ 

▶ Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

- $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- $\bar{B}^u(q)$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in q

• Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

- $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- $\bar{B}^u(q)$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in q
- $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\overline{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\overline{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

- $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- $\bar{B}^u(q)$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in q
- $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- ► Note that the Diophantine equation involves both B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) and B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>).

• Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

- $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- $\bar{B}^u(q)$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in q
- $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- ► Note that the Diophantine equation involves both B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) and B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>).
- ▶ Since  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$  is anti-Schur, the operator  $\frac{R(q^{-1})}{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})}$  is BIBO.

• Be careful with  $B^u(q^{-1})$ ,  $\bar{B}^u(q)$ , and  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ 

- $B^u(q^{-1})$  is a Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- $\bar{B}^u(q)$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in q
- $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial in  $q^{-1}$
- ► Note that the Diophantine equation involves both B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) and B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>).

► Since  $\overline{B}^{u}(q^{-1})$  is anti-Schur, the operator  $\frac{R(q^{-1})}{\overline{B}^{u}(q^{-1})}$  is BIBO. ⇒  $\epsilon_{f}(k) = \frac{R(q^{-1})}{\overline{B}^{u}(q^{-1})} \epsilon(k)$  has bounded covariance

# Special Case: $B(q^{-1})$ is anti-Schur

When  $B(q^{-1})$  is anti-Schur, we have

► 
$$B^{s}(q^{-1}) = B(q^{-1})$$

• 
$$B^u(q^{-1}) = \bar{B}^u(q) = \bar{B}^u(q^{-1}) = 1$$

## Special Case: $B(q^{-1})$ is anti-Schur

When  $B(q^{-1})$  is anti-Schur, we have

► 
$$B^{s}(q^{-1}) = B(q^{-1})$$

• 
$$B^u(q^{-1}) = \bar{B}^u(q) = \bar{B}^u(q^{-1}) = 1$$

• Expressing  $R(q^{-1}) = 1 + r_1 q^{-1} + \dots + r_{n_r} q^{-n_r}$ , the optimal cost is

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{[R(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)]^{2}\}$$
  
=  $E\{[\epsilon(k) + r_{1}\epsilon(k-1) + \dots + r_{n_{r}}\epsilon(k-n_{r})]^{2}\}$   
=  $E\{\epsilon^{2}(k)\} + r_{1}^{2}E\{\epsilon^{2}(k-1)\} + \dots + r_{n_{r}}^{2}E\{\epsilon^{2}(k-n_{r})\}$   
=  $(1 + r_{1}^{2} + \dots + r_{n_{r}}^{2})E\{\epsilon^{2}(k)\}$ 

## Special Case: $B(q^{-1})$ is anti-Schur

When  $B(q^{-1})$  is anti-Schur, we have

► 
$$B^{s}(q^{-1}) = B(q^{-1})$$

• 
$$B^u(q^{-1}) = \bar{B}^u(q) = \bar{B}^u(q^{-1}) = 1$$

• Expressing  $R(q^{-1}) = 1 + r_1 q^{-1} + \dots + r_{n_r} q^{-n_r}$ , the optimal cost is

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{[R(q^{-1})\epsilon(k)]^{2}\}$$
  
=  $E\{[\epsilon(k) + r_{1}\epsilon(k-1) + \dots + r_{n_{r}}\epsilon(k-n_{r})]^{2}\}$   
=  $E\{\epsilon^{2}(k)\} + r_{1}^{2}E\{\epsilon^{2}(k-1)\} + \dots + r_{n_{r}}^{2}E\{\epsilon^{2}(k-n_{r})\}$   
=  $(1 + r_{1}^{2} + \dots + r_{n_{r}}^{2})E\{\epsilon^{2}(k)\}$ 

Therefore

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = (1 + r_{1}^{2} + \dots + r_{n_{r}}^{2})(\hat{C}M\hat{C}^{T} + V)$$

◆□ > < 個 > < 目 > < 目 > < 目 > < 0 < 0</p>
## Outline

Introduction

**MVR** Problem Statement

**MVR Solution** 

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme
- 4. Verify closed-loop stability

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides
- 3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme
- 4. Verify closed-loop stability

Comments on the notation in this proof:

- Capital letters always denote polynomials; lower case letters denote sequences (except d and q)
- ▶ Dependency of polynomials on q<sup>-1</sup> will be omitted e.g. B<sup>u</sup> will refer to B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>)
- ▶ Dependency of sequences on k will be omitted e.g. y will refer to y(k)

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C - q^{-d}S]y$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C - q^{-d}S]y$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$R[q^{-\mathrm{d}}Bu + C\epsilon] = [C - q^{-\mathrm{d}}S]y$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C - q^{-d}S]y$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$R[q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon] = [C - q^{-d}S]y$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○○○

From the previous slide:

$$Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

Cz = Sy + BRu

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

From the previous slide:

$$Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + BRu$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control) • Define  $\epsilon_f = R\epsilon$ 

From the previous slide:

$$Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + BRu$$

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

- Define  $\epsilon_f = R\epsilon$
- From the top equation,

$$Cy - q^{-d}Cz - C\epsilon_f = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad C(y - q^{-d}z - \epsilon_f) = 0$$

From the previous slide:

$$Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + BRu$$

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

- Define  $\epsilon_f = R\epsilon$
- From the top equation,

$$Cy - q^{-d}Cz - C\epsilon_f = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad C(y - q^{-d}z - \epsilon_f) = 0$$

Since C is anti-Schur, we have  $y-q^{-\mathrm{d}}z-\epsilon_f\longrightarrow 0$ 

From the previous slide:

$$Cy - q^{-d}(Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + BRu$$

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

- Define  $\epsilon_f = R\epsilon$
- From the top equation,

$$Cy - q^{-d}Cz - C\epsilon_f = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad C(y - q^{-d}z - \epsilon_f) = 0$$

Since C is anti-Schur, we have  $y-q^{-\mathrm{d}}z-\epsilon_f\longrightarrow 0$ 

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$

• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), ...\}$ , we use

least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), \ldots\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \quad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

$$\bullet \ \epsilon_f(k+d-1) = \epsilon(k+d-1) + r_1\epsilon(k+d-2) + \dots + r_{d-1}\epsilon(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \quad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), \ldots\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \quad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

$$\bullet \ \epsilon_f(k+d-1) = \epsilon(k+d-1) + r_1\epsilon(k+d-2) + \dots + r_{d-1}\epsilon(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \quad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+\mathbf{d}-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), \ldots\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \quad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

$$\bullet \ \epsilon_f(k+d-1) = \epsilon(k+d-1) + r_1\epsilon(k+d-2) + \dots + r_{d-1}\epsilon(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \quad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

Since 
$$z(k)$$
 is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$  and  $u(k), u(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{z(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+\mathrm{d}-1)\}=0\qquad\forall\ell>0$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), \ldots\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \quad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

$$\bullet \ \epsilon_f(k+d-1) = \epsilon(k+d-1) + r_1\epsilon(k+d-2) + \dots + r_{d-1}\epsilon(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow \ E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \quad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

Since 
$$z(k)$$
 is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$  and  $u(k), u(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{z(k-\ell)\epsilon_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Choosing  $\ell = 1$  completes part 2

#### Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

#### Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{z^{2}(k-d)\} + E\{\epsilon_{f}^{2}(k)\}$$

Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{z^{2}(k-d)\} + E\{\epsilon_{f}^{2}(k)\}$$

Notes:

At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{z^{2}(k-d)\} + E\{\epsilon_{f}^{2}(k)\}$$

Notes:

- At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- $E\{\epsilon_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the choice of the control law

Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{z^{2}(k-d)\} + E\{\epsilon_{f}^{2}(k)\}$$

Notes:

- At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- $E\{\epsilon_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the choice of the control law
- ► ⇒ We would like to choose u to minimize  $E\{z^2\}$

Recall that

$$y(k) = z(k - d) + \epsilon_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$$

Therefore,

$$E\{y^{2}(k)\} = E\{z^{2}(k - d)\} + E\{\epsilon_{f}^{2}(k)\}$$

Notes:

- ► At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- $E\{\epsilon_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the choice of the control law
- ▶ ⇒ We would like to choose u to minimize  $E\{z^2\}$
- If we can make  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ , the control must be optimal

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\}=0$ 

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$BRu_* = -Sy$$

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\}=0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$BRu_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = BRu_* + Sy = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$BRu_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = BRu_* + Sy = 0$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

•  $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$BRu_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = BRu_* + Sy = 0$$

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .
- ▶ For this control signal, E{z<sup>2</sup>} = 0, which means that u<sub>\*</sub>(k) is optimal, provided that the closed-loop system is stable

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$BRu_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = BRu_* + Sy = 0$$

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .
- ▶ For this control signal, E{z<sup>2</sup>} = 0, which means that u<sub>\*</sub>(k) is optimal, provided that the closed-loop system is stable
- Also note that  $E\{y^2\} = E\{\epsilon_f^2\}$ , provided that the closed-loop system is stable

## Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & BR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

## Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & BR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{BAR + q^{-d}BS} \begin{bmatrix} BR & q^{-d}B \\ -S & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{B(AR + q^{-d}S)} \begin{bmatrix} BRC\epsilon \\ -SC\epsilon \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{BC} \begin{bmatrix} BRC\epsilon \\ -SC\epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & BR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{BAR + q^{-d}BS} \begin{bmatrix} BR & q^{-d}B \\ -S & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{B(AR + q^{-d}S)} \begin{bmatrix} BRC\epsilon \\ -SC\epsilon \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{BC} \begin{bmatrix} BRC\epsilon \\ -SC\epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  ${\cal C}(q^{-1}){\cal B}(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1},$  the closed-loop system is stable

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

### Outline

Introduction

**MVR** Problem Statement

**MVR** Solution

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

# A-causal but BIBO Systems

Recall that the polynomial  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is Schur
Recall that the polynomial  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is Schur

The AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{u(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{1}_{y(k)}$$

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Recall that the polynomial  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is Schur

The AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{u(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{1}_{y(k)}$$

We can interpret the operator  $\frac{1}{B^u(q^{-1})}$  in two ways:

Recall that the polynomial  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is Schur

The AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{u(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{1}_{y(k)}$$

We can interpret the operator  $\frac{1}{B^u(q^{-1})}$  in two ways:

1. Causal, but unstable

Recall that the polynomial  $B^u(q^{-1})$  is Schur

The AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{u(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{1}_{y(k)}$$

We can interpret the operator  $\frac{1}{B^{u}(q^{-1})}$  in two ways:

- 1. Causal, but unstable
- 2. A-causal, but BIBO

We are considering the AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  where

$$B^{u}(q^{-1}) = 1 + b_{1}^{u}q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_{u}}^{u}q^{-m_{u}}$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

We are considering the AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  where

$$B^{u}(q^{-1}) = 1 + b_{1}^{u}q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_{u}}^{u}q^{-m_{u}}$$

$$\longrightarrow \qquad (1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u}) y(k) = u(k)$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

We are considering the AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  where

$$B^{u}(q^{-1}) = 1 + b_{1}^{u}q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_{u}}^{u}q^{-m_{u}}$$

$$\longrightarrow \qquad (1+b_1^u q^{-1}+\dots+b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k)=u(k)$$

Interpreting the AR model as causal, but unstable corresponds to

$$y(k) = u(k) - [b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u}]y(k)$$
  
=  $u(k) - b_1^u y(k-1) - \dots - b_{m_u}^u y(k-m_u)$ 

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We are considering the AR model  $B^u(q^{-1})y(k) = u(k)$  where

$$B^{u}(q^{-1}) = 1 + b_{1}^{u}q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_{u}}^{u}q^{-m_{u}}$$

$$\longrightarrow \qquad (1+b_1^u q^{-1}+\dots+b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k)=u(k)$$

Interpreting the AR model as causal, but unstable corresponds to

$$y(k) = u(k) - [b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u}]y(k)$$
  
=  $u(k) - b_1^u y(k-1) - \dots - b_{m_u}^u y(k-m_u)$ 

y(k) is a function of  $u(k), u(k-1), u(k-2), \ldots$ 

We are considering the AR model

$$(1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k) = u(k)$$

We are considering the AR model

$$(1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k) = u(k)$$

Interpreting the AR model as a-causal, but BIBO corresponds to

$$b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u} y(k) = u(k) - [1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u-1}^u q^{-m_u+1}] y(k)$$

We are considering the AR model

$$(1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k) = u(k)$$

Interpreting the AR model as a-causal, but BIBO corresponds to

$$b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u} y(k) = u(k) - [1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u-1}^u q^{-m_u+1}] y(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{m_u}^u y(k) = q^{m_u} u(k) - [q^{m_u} + b_1^u q^{m_u - 1} + \dots + b_{m_u - 1}^u q] y(k)$$
$$\Rightarrow y(k) = \frac{1}{b_{m_u}} [u(k + m_u) - y(k + m_u) - b_1^u y(k + m_u - 1) - \dots - b_{m_u - 1}^u y(k + 1)]$$

We are considering the AR model

$$(1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u})y(k) = u(k)$$

Interpreting the AR model as a-causal, but BIBO corresponds to

$$b_{m_u}^u q^{-m_u} y(k) = u(k) - [1 + b_1^u q^{-1} + \dots + b_{m_u-1}^u q^{-m_u+1}] y(k)$$

$$\Rightarrow b_{m_u}^u y(k) = q^{m_u} u(k) - [q^{m_u} + b_1^u q^{m_u - 1} + \dots + b_{m_u - 1}^u q] y(k)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow y(k) = \frac{1}{b_{m_u}} [u(k + m_u) - y(k + m_u) - b_1^u y(k + m_u - 1) - \dots - b_{m_u - 1}^u y(k + 1)]$$

y(k) is a function of  $u(k + m_u), u(k + m_u + 1), u(k + m_u + 2), ...$ 

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 圖▶ ★ 圖▶ ─ 圖

Let  $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{k})$  be the output of the a-causal, but BIBO ARMAX model

$$B^{u}(q^{-1})w(k) = \bar{B}^{u}(q^{-1})y(k)$$

This corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})}_{W(k)} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(k)}$$

Let  $\boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{k})$  be the output of the a-causal, but BIBO ARMAX model

$$B^{u}(q^{-1})w(k) = \bar{B}^{u}(q^{-1})y(k)$$

This corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{\overline{B^u(q^{-1})}}_{W(k)} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(k)}$$

Claim:

$$\left|\frac{\bar{B}^{u}(e^{-j\omega})}{B^{u}(e^{-j\omega})}\right| = 1 \qquad \forall \omega \in [0, 2\pi]$$

Let w(k) be the output of the a-causal, but BIBO ARMAX model

$$B^{u}(q^{-1})w(k) = \bar{B}^{u}(q^{-1})y(k)$$

This corresponds to the block diagram

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \xrightarrow{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(q^{-1})}$$

Claim:

$$\left|\frac{\bar{B}^{u}(e^{-j\omega})}{B^{u}(e^{-j\omega})}\right| = 1 \qquad \forall \omega \in [0, 2\pi]$$

Proof:

$$\bar{B}^{u}(q) = q^{m_{u}}B^{u}(q^{-1}) \implies \bar{B}^{u}(q^{-1}) = q^{-m_{u}}B^{u}(q)$$
$$\implies |\bar{B}^{u}(e^{-j\omega})| = |e^{-j\omega m_{u}}B^{u}(e^{j\omega})| = |B^{u}(e^{j\omega})| = |B^{u}(e^{-j\omega})| \blacksquare$$

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{\overline{B^u(q^{-1})}}_{W(k)} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(k)}$$

The power spectral density of w(k) is

$$\Phi_{WW}(\omega) = \left|\frac{\bar{B}^u(e^{-j\omega})}{B^u(e^{-j\omega})}\right|^2 \Phi_{YY}(\omega) = \Phi_{YY}(\omega)$$

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})}_{W(q^{-1})} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(q^{-1})}$$

The power spectral density of w(k) is

$$\Phi_{WW}(\omega) = \left|\frac{\bar{B}^u(e^{-j\omega})}{B^u(e^{-j\omega})}\right|^2 \Phi_{YY}(\omega) = \Phi_{YY}(\omega)$$

Therefore

$$\Lambda_{WW}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_{WW}(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_{YY}(\omega) d\omega = \Lambda_{YY}(0)$$

$$\underbrace{y(k)}_{B^u(q^{-1})} \underbrace{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})}_{W(q^{-1})} \underbrace{w(k)}_{W(q^{-1})}$$

The power spectral density of w(k) is

$$\Phi_{WW}(\omega) = \left|\frac{\bar{B}^u(e^{-j\omega})}{B^u(e^{-j\omega})}\right|^2 \Phi_{YY}(\omega) = \Phi_{YY}(\omega)$$

Therefore

$$\Lambda_{WW}(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_{WW}(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Phi_{YY}(\omega) d\omega = \Lambda_{YY}(0)$$

$$E\{w^{2}(k)\} = E\{y^{2}(k)\}$$

### Outline

Introduction

**MVR** Problem Statement

**MVR Solution** 

Proof, Special Case:  $B(q^{-1})$  Anti-Schur

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

A-causal but BIBO Systems

Proof, General Case

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k-d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- 3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme
- 4. Verify closed-loop stability

The proof will be done in 4 parts:

- 1. Rewrite the system dynamics in a more convenient form
- 2. Prove that  $E\{z(k d)\epsilon_f(k)\} = 0$ , where z(k) is a sequence to be defined in subsequent slides
- 3. Prove optimality of proposed control scheme
- 4. Verify closed-loop stability

Comments on the notation in this proof:

- Capital letters always denote polynomials; lower case letters denote sequences (except d and q)
- ▶ Dependency of polynomials on q<sup>-1</sup> will be omitted e.g. B<sup>u</sup> will refer to B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>)
- ▶ Dependency of sequences on k will be omitted e.g. y will refer to y(k)

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-d}B^uS]y$$

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-d}B^uS]y$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$R[q^{-\mathrm{d}}Bu + C\epsilon] = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-\mathrm{d}}B^uS]y$$

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-d}B^uS]y$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$R[q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon] = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-d}B^uS]y$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}(B^{u}Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

The plant dynamics are

$$Ay = q^{-d}Bu + C\epsilon$$

and the Diophantine equation gives

$$[RA]y = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-d}B^uS]y$$

Combining these two equations gives

$$R[q^{-\mathrm{d}}Bu + C\epsilon] = [C\bar{B}^u - q^{-\mathrm{d}}B^uS]y$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}(B^{u}Sy + BRu) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

Factoring  $B^u$  out of the term in parentheses yields

$$\Rightarrow \quad C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}B^{u}(Sy + B^{s}Ru) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

From the previous slide:

$$C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}B^{u}(Sy + B^{s}Ru) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + B^s Ru$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

From the previous slide:

$$C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}B^{u}(Sy + B^{s}Ru) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + B^s Ru$$

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

• Define 
$$\bar{\epsilon}_f$$
 and  $w$  by

$$B^u \bar{\epsilon}_f = R \epsilon \qquad \qquad B^u w = \bar{B}^u y$$

We interpret these relationships as a-causal, but BIBO

From the previous slide:

$$C\bar{B}^{u}y - q^{-d}B^{u}(Sy + B^{s}Ru) - CR\epsilon = 0$$

• Define z(k) in terms of y(k) and u(k) using

$$Cz = Sy + B^s Ru$$

(note that we are not necessarily using the optimal control)

• Define 
$$\bar{\epsilon}_f$$
 and  $w$  by

$$B^u \bar{\epsilon}_f = R \epsilon \qquad \qquad B^u w = \bar{B}^u y$$

We interpret these relationships as a-causal, but BIBO

From the top equation,

$$CB^{u}w - q^{-d}CB^{u}z - CB^{u}\bar{\epsilon}_{f} = 0$$
  
$$\Rightarrow CB^{u}(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_{f}) = 0$$

So far, we know that

$$CB^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) = 0$$

▶ Since C is anti-Schur, we have  $B^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) \longrightarrow 0$ 

So far, we know that

$$CB^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) = 0$$

Since C is anti-Schur, we have B<sup>u</sup>(w − q<sup>-d</sup>z − ē<sub>f</sub>) → 0
 If we regard 1/B<sup>u</sup>(q<sup>-1</sup>) as a-causal but BIBO, we have w − q<sup>-d</sup>z − ē<sub>f</sub> → 0

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

So far, we know that

$$CB^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) = 0$$

▶ Since C is anti-Schur, we have  $B^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) \longrightarrow 0$ 

- ▶ If we regard  $\frac{1}{B^u(q^{-1})}$  as a-causal but BIBO, we have  $w q^{-d}z \bar{\epsilon}_f \longrightarrow 0$
- We have now obtained

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

So far, we know that

$$CB^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) = 0$$

▶ Since C is anti-Schur, we have  $B^u(w - q^{-d}z - \bar{\epsilon}_f) \longrightarrow 0$ 

- ▶ If we regard  $\frac{1}{B^u(q^{-1})}$  as a-causal but BIBO, we have  $w q^{-d}z \bar{\epsilon}_f \longrightarrow 0$
- We have now obtained

▶ Also note that, because 
$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
  
■ Also note that, because  $w(k) = \frac{\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})}{B^u(q^{-1})}y(k)$ 

$$E\{w^{2}(k)\} = E\{y^{2}(k)\}$$

Part 2:  $E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$ 

Since ϵ(k) = y(k) − E{y(k)|y(k − 1), y(k − 2),...}, we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$
• Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), \ldots\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

• Defining  $\epsilon_r = R\epsilon$ , we have

$$\epsilon_r(k+n_r) = \epsilon(k+n_r) + r_1\epsilon(k+n_r-1) + \dots + r_{n_r}\epsilon(k)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_r(k+n_r+p)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

▶ Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), ...\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

• Defining  $\epsilon_r = R\epsilon$ , we have

$$\epsilon_r(k+n_r) = \epsilon(k+n_r) + r_1\epsilon(k+n_r-1) + \dots + r_{n_r}\epsilon(k)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_r(k+n_r+p)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

► Regarding the relationship B<sup>u</sup> ϵ<sub>f</sub> = ϵ<sub>r</sub> as a-causal but BIBO, and noting that n<sub>r</sub> = m<sub>u</sub> + d − 1, we see that ϵ<sub>f</sub>(k + d − 1) is a function of ϵ<sub>r</sub>(k + n<sub>r</sub>), ϵ<sub>r</sub>(k + n<sub>r</sub> + 1), · · · , which implies

▶ Since  $\epsilon(k) = y(k) - E\{y(k)|y(k-1), y(k-2), ...\}$ , we use least squares property 1 to see that

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon(k+p)\}, \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

• Defining  $\epsilon_r = R\epsilon$ , we have

$$\epsilon_r(k+n_r) = \epsilon(k+n_r) + r_1\epsilon(k+n_r-1) + \dots + r_{n_r}\epsilon(k)$$
  
$$\Rightarrow \quad E\{y(k-\ell)\epsilon_r(k+n_r+p)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0, p \ge 0$$

▶ Regarding the relationship B<sup>u</sup> ē<sub>f</sub> = e<sub>r</sub> as a-causal but BIBO, and noting that n<sub>r</sub> = m<sub>u</sub> + d − 1, we see that ē<sub>f</sub>(k + d − 1) is a function of e<sub>r</sub>(k + n<sub>r</sub>), e<sub>r</sub>(k + n<sub>r</sub> + 1), ..., which implies

$$E\{y(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+\mathrm{d}-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

Part 2:  $E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$ 

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since z(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$  and  $u(k), u(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{z(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+\mathbf{d}-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

Part 2:  $E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$ 

• Since u(k) is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{u(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+d-1)\} = 0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Since 
$$z(k)$$
 is a function of  $y(k), y(k-1), \ldots$  and  $u(k), u(k-1), \ldots$ 

$$E\{z(k-\ell)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k+d-1)\}=0 \qquad \forall \ell > 0$$

• Choosing  $\ell = 1$  yields

$$E\{z(k-d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\}=0$$

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{z^2(k-d)\} + E\{\overline{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$$

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{z^2(k-d)\} + E\{\overline{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$$

Notes:

At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{z^2(k-d)\} + E\{\overline{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$$

Notes:

- At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- The value of  $E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the control law

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{z^2(k-\mathbf{d})\} + E\{\overline{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$$

Notes:

- At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- The value of  $E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the control law
- ▶ ⇒ We would like to choose u to minimize  $E\{z^2\}$

So far, we know

$$w(k) = z(k - d) + \bar{\epsilon}_f(k)$$
$$E\{z(k - d)\bar{\epsilon}_f(k)\} = 0$$
$$E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{w^2(k)\}$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{y^2(k)\} = E\{z^2(k-\mathbf{d})\} + E\{\overline{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$$

Notes:

- At this point, we have only assumed that u(k) stabilizes the system (so that the relevant covariances are bounded)
- The value of  $E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2(k)\}$  does not depend on the control law
- ▶ ⇒ We would like to choose u to minimize  $E\{z^2\}$
- If we can make  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ , the control must be optimal

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\}=0$ 

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$B^s R u_* = -Sy$$

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\}=0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$B^s R u_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = B^s Ru_* + Sy = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$B^s R u_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = B^s Ru_* + Sy = 0$$

•  $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$B^s R u_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = B^s Ru_* + Sy = 0$$

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .
- ▶ For this control signal, E{z<sup>2</sup>} = 0, which means that u<sub>\*</sub>(k) is optimal, provided that the closed-loop system is stable.

Goal: choose u so that  $E\{z^2\} = 0$ 

• If we apply the control signal  $u_*(k)$  defined by

$$B^s R u_* = -Sy$$

we have

$$Cz = B^s Ru_* + Sy = 0$$

- $C(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial  $\Rightarrow z(k) \longrightarrow 0$ .
- ▶ For this control signal, E{z<sup>2</sup>} = 0, which means that u<sub>\*</sub>(k) is optimal, provided that the closed-loop system is stable.
- ▶ Also note that  $E\{y^2\} = E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2\}$ , provided that the closed-loop system is stable

▶ Provided that the closed-loop system is stable, we have  $E\{y^2\} = E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2\}$  where  $\bar{\epsilon}_f$  is generated by the BIBO a-causal ARMA model  $B^u \bar{\epsilon}_f = R \epsilon$ 

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- ▶ Provided that the closed-loop system is stable, we have  $E\{y^2\} = E\{\bar{e}_f^2\}$  where  $\bar{e}_f$  is generated by the BIBO a-causal ARMA model  $B^u \bar{e}_f = R \epsilon$
- We can instead express the optimal cost in terms of a BIBO causal ARMA model as

 $E\{y^2\}=E\{\epsilon_f^2\}$  where  $\epsilon_f$  is the output of  $\bar{B}^u\epsilon_f=R\epsilon$ 

- ▶ Provided that the closed-loop system is stable, we have  $E\{y^2\} = E\{\bar{e}_f^2\}$  where  $\bar{e}_f$  is generated by the BIBO a-causal ARMA model  $B^u \bar{e}_f = R \epsilon$
- We can instead express the optimal cost in terms of a BIBO causal ARMA model as

$$E\{y^2\} = E\{\epsilon_f^2\}$$
 where  $\epsilon_f$  is the output of  $\bar{B}^u \epsilon_f = R\epsilon$ 

(Remember that  $\bar{B}^u$  refers to  $\bar{B}^u(q^{-1})$ )

► To see this, note that since  $\bar{\epsilon}_f$  is the output of the a-causal but BIBO ARMA model  $B^u \bar{\epsilon}_f = \bar{B}^u \epsilon_f$  and the operator  $\left(\frac{\bar{B}^u}{B^u}\right)$  is an a-causal all-pass filter, we have that  $E\{\epsilon_f^2\} = E\{\bar{\epsilon}_f^2\}$ 

<ロト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 4 回 ト 回 の Q (O)</p>

### Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & B^sR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

### Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & B^sR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{B^s A R + q^{-d} B S} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R & q^{-d} B \\ -S & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{B^s (A R + q^{-d} B^u S)} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R C \epsilon \\ -S C \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{C \bar{B}^u B^s} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R C \epsilon \\ -S C \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Part 4: Closed-loop stability

From the plant dynamics and feedback law, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & -q^{-\mathrm{d}}B\\ S & B^sR \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y\\ u \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C\epsilon\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} y \\ u \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{B^s A R + q^{-d} B S} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R & q^{-d} B \\ -S & A \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{B^s (A R + q^{-d} B^u S)} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R C \epsilon \\ -S C \epsilon \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{C \bar{B}^u B^s} \begin{bmatrix} B^s R C \epsilon \\ -S C \epsilon \end{bmatrix}$$

Since  $C(q^{-1})\overline{B}^u(q^{-1})B^s(q^{-1})$  is an anti-Schur polynomial of  $q^{-1}$ , the closed-loop system is stable

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで